

Reducing hazards for humans from animals: emerging and re-emerging zoonoses

CLAUDE SAEGERMAN⁽¹⁾, FABIANA DAL POZZO⁽¹⁾, MARIE-FRANCE HUMBLET⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT

Pathogens that are capable of infecting more than one host, more than one taxonomic order and wild hosts, all present a higher relative risk of (re-)emergence. A long environmental persistence gives pathogens a more selective advantage. In case of an emerging or re-emerging zoonosis, the prevalence of infection in animals and the exposure determine the incidence in humans. Human exposure to zoonotic agents depends on lifestyle and occupation (e.g., veterinarians and farmers are more at risk for zoonoses related to livestock). Efforts to increase awareness, provide information on prevention, and apply biosecurity are essential. Moreover, a substantial decline in the incidence of human disease implies the prevention, the control or the elimination of zoonoses in the animal compartments. The only way to prevent health hazards is to adapt the existing systems of health governance at global, regional, national and local levels in a harmonised and coordinated manner. To achieve such a goal, the One Health strategy was recently developed to expand interdisciplinary collaborations and communications on all aspects of health care for humans and animals, veterinary, human medical, public health professionals and stakeholders.

Key words: Zoonosis, Emerging disease, Re-emerging disease, Human, Animal, Prevention, Control, Biosecurity

(1) Research Unit of Epidemiology and Risk Analysis applied to Veterinary Sciences (UREAR-ULg), Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liege, Boulevard de Colonster 20, B42, B-4000 Liege, Belgium

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Prof. Claude Saegerman, Dipl. ECVPH, Head of Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liege; Tel: +32 4 366 45 79; Fax: +32 3 366 42 63; e-mail: Claude.Saegerman@ulg.ac.be

The aim of this short review is to present ways to reduce hazards for humans from animals, especially for emerging and re-emerging zoonoses. For this purpose, factors of (re)emergence were presented, followed by some considerations concerning the role of the animal compartment in the decrease of human cases of zoonoses, the biosecurity and education programs.

CASE DEFINITIONS

The most appropriate definition of zoonosis seems to be the one suggested by Teufel: “zoonotic

agents are infectious [transmissible] agents which are not only confined to one host but which can cause an infection [infestation] (with or without clinical disease) in several hosts including humans” (1). On the other hand, all diseases affecting animals and humans are not strictly zoonotic but could be qualified as common: both animals and humans generally contract the infection from the same sources (soil, water, invertebrate animals and plants); however, animals do not play an essential role in the life cycle of the etiologic agent, but may contribute in varying degrees to the distribution and actual transmission of infections (2). According to the World

Organization for Animal Health (OIE), 75% of the emerging diseases originate from domestic or wild animals, which prompts for a close collaboration between animal and public health authorities (www.oie.int/eng/edito/en_edito_avr09.htm). To achieve such a goal, the One Health strategy was recently developed to expand interdisciplinary collaborations and communications on all aspects of health care for humans, animals and the environment (www.onehealthinitiative.com/mission.php). Such collaborations are particularly evident when considering zoonoses.

Emerging infectious animal diseases (EIDs) have taken a growing importance in the last decades because some of them are transmissible to humans (3). Several definitions of an emerging disease coexist (4-7) but with a common denominator (8): an emerging disease is a disease of which true incidence increases in a significant way in a given population, in a given area and during a given period, in comparison with the usual epidemiological situation of this disease (9).

FACTORS OF (RE-)EMERGENCE

The increase in true incidence is due to several factors such as the evolution or the modification of a pathogenic agent or an existing parasite, which results in a change of host,

vector, pathogenicity or strain (10). Specific social, ecological, climatic, environmental or demographic factors contribute to the emergence of an infectious disease (7,11-13), but it is difficult to establish a ranking of causes and mechanisms (14). However, pathogens capable of infecting more than one host (which, for human diseases, includes all zoonoses), more than one taxonomic order, and pathogens infecting wild hosts, all have a higher relative risk of emergence than pathogens with a more restricted host range (15). Moreover, pathogens resisting a long time in the environment have a more selective advantage.

The world is facing new epidemiological risks such as climatic changes, human demographics and behaviour, economic development and land use (e.g. the increasing demand for arable land and pastures and the development of urban and peri-urban animal production), poverty and social inequality, and events related to globalisation of trade in animals and animal products (Table 1) (3).

There is some evidence supporting the impact of climate change on the occurrence, distribution and prevalence of livestock diseases (16-19). However, when trying to disentangle the effect of climate change and other possible causes of disease upsurge, it is often observed that social and economic factors, including trade and travel, play a much more important role (20, 21). It is therefore inappropriate to use only

TABLE 1

MAIN FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EMERGENCE OF ANIMAL DISEASES ACCORDING TO THE PERIOD OF TIME (53)

FACTOR	2007	2017	2027
International travel and commerce	●	●●	●●●
Climate change and weather	●	●●	●●●
Economic development and land use	●	●●	●●●
Poverty and social inequality	●	●●	●●●
Human demographics and behaviour	●	●●	●●●
Breakdown of public health measures	●	●	●
Changing ecosystems	●	●	●
Intent of harm	●	●	●
Lack of political will	●	●	●
Microbial adaptation and change	●	●	●
Technology and industry	●	●	●
War and famine	●	●	●

climate-based models to predict the incidence of a future disease (22). In fact, understanding the mechanisms that underlie newly emerging and re-emerging infectious zoonoses is one of the most difficult scientific challenges society must face today (23), despite the fact that different models designed to help the comprehension of this phenomenon have been developed in recent years, including a model of ecological continuum between host and pathogen (Figure 1) (24), a model improving clinical detection of rare events (25) and a convergence model of zoonotic diseases (26).


If we want indicators of risk, and a system to monitor how such indicators change over time, we need to construct quantitative models relating risk factors (temperature, land cover,

human behaviour, etc.) to outcomes (disease case numbers). Therefore, we need a good understanding of the epidemiological processes at the origin of the introduction, establishment and spread of diseases. We need disease surveillance systems with a high sensitivity for the detection of suspect cases, and a high specificity for diagnosis. We also need prioritization of diseases affecting food-producing animals, wildlife, pets and exotic pets, including zoonoses (27, 28).

Stakeholders involved in animal health and disease surveillance must be aware of these issues. Therefore, training courses must be adapted to prepare veterinary, human medical, public health professionals and stakeholders to play their role in disease prevention, control and surveillance.

FIG. 1

INTERACTIONS WITH ZOOBOTIC PATHOGENS WITHIN A HOST-PARASITE CONTINUUM BETWEEN WILDLIFE, DOMESTIC ANIMAL, AND HUMAN POPULATIONS (24)



The host-parasite ecological continuum (here parasites include viruses and parasitic bacteria). Most emerging diseases exist within a host and parasite continuum between wildlife, domestic animal, and human populations. Few diseases affect exclusively only one group, and the complex relations between host populations set the scene for disease emergence. Arrows denote some of the key factors driving disease emergence.

PATHOGENS OF HUMANS AND DOMESTIC ANIMALS

In their review, Cleaveland and collaborators listed 1 415 pathogens infecting humans and their domestic mammals and identified 56.5% (N=800) as being zoonotic (Table 2) (15). A previous study focusing on recorded events of EIDs highlighted that 60.3% of these diseases were indeed zoonoses (29).

In the group of zoonotic pathogens, it is of major importance to consider both emerging agents - e.g. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) associated coronavirus (-CoV), Nipah virus, Hantaviruses, prion protein, avian influenza - and re-emerging diseases, e.g. rabies, bovine brucellosis, yellow fever and bovine tuberculosis.

The RNA viruses are capable of adapting rapidly to changing environmental conditions and are among the most prominent emerging pathogens (15, 30). Mutations are more common in RNA viruses than DNA viruses.

The temporal evolution of EIDs suggests they will preferentially be vector-borne diseases (e.g. tick-borne encephalitis, West Nile fever) and pathogens resistant to classical treatments (e.g., Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*) (29). The majority of EIDs events originate in wildlife because of inter-species transmission (e.g. Lassa fever, Ebola, SARS) and are increasing significantly over time (29, 31, 32). Although they can arise anywhere in the world, the promiscuity between humans and animals is one of the main risk factors (23). In addition, some EIDs may also emerge in old (leptospirosis, leishmaniosis) and

new companion animals (tularemia, monkey poxvirus) (33, 34).

Emerging infectious animal diseases represent a challenge for health, as well as society, international economy, biology and the media. There is thus a need to develop new educational programmes, new disciplines and new research themes in epidemiology, microbiology and infectiology of EIDs. Moreover, the problem being global, these solutions must be adapted to various ecological and socio-economic contexts, including those found in less developed countries. Veterinary, agronomic and medical know-how are resources and assets required to take up these challenges.

REDUCING HAZARDS FOR HUMANS FROM ANIMALS

A growing public interest exists in the prevention and control of animal diseases and zoonoses (35). For example, many EIDs related to wildlife are caused by highly pathogenic agents (e.g. haemorrhagic fevers like Ebola and Marburg viruses, encephalitis like Nipah virus) (32). Preventing the occurrence of such diseases requires higher levels of biosecurity, and thus, appropriate training in medical and veterinary schools and universities, but also general information for all people and precautions to minimize the risk of contracting such zoonotic diseases. The reduction of hazards must imply collaborations between the main actors involved in animal as well as human health.

TABLE 2

IMPORTANT ANIMAL HOST CATEGORIES FOR HUMAN AND EMERGING HUMAN ZOOSES* (15)		
HOST CATEGORIES	NUMBER OF ZOOZOTIC DISEASES (TOTAL=800)	NUMBER OF EMERGING ZOOZOTIC DISEASES (TOTAL=125)
Ungulates	315 (39.3%)	72 (57.6%)
Carnivores	344 (43.0%)	64 (51.2%)
Primates	103 (12.9%)	31 (24.8%)
Rodents	180 (22.5%)	43 (34.4%)
Marine mammals	41 (5.1%)	6 (4.8%)
Bats	15 (1.9%)	6 (4.8%)
Non-mammalian host (include birds)	109 (13.6%)	30 (24.0%)
Birds	82 (10.3%)	23 (18.4%)

* Host range detailed represents minimums as full host range for many pathogens may not be known. Diseases for which the animal hosts were completely unknown were excluded (n=72 diseases and 8 emerging diseases).

Several actions can and should be carried out in parallel:

- The collection and dissemination of information
- The use of general precaution
- The improvement of biosecurity
- The prevention and control
- The health governance (One Health approach).

Collecting and disseminating information

Many websites collect information on human diseases and/or animal diseases and zoonoses. They provide data on ongoing disease events or periodic summaries of disease statuses around the world (compiling information on morbidity and mortality rates). An overview of pertinent websites is presented in Table 3. Data is easily accessible (free of charge) and should be disseminated. Such sources of information can be consulted on a regular basis to be updated on the evolving situation of EIDs, which is crucial from the prevention point of view.

Using general precautions

General precautions to minimize the risk of zoonotic diseases are listed in Table 4.

Some categories of people are particularly at risk regarding emerging, and especially re-emerging diseases: Young, Old, Pregnant and Immunodeficient (identified by the acronym YOPI). People with weakened or compromised immune systems, in particular, should adopt additional precautions, since in this group of people, there has been a considerable increase in the incidence of several diseases such as tuberculosis (36), cryptosporidiosis (37) and parasitic diseases (malaria, leishmaniasis, schistosomiasis, trypanosomiasis and strongyloidiasis) (38).

Some EIDs are related to an occupational exposure, e.g. Q fever among veterinarians (39) or hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in employees of forest industries (40). Professionals at risk must be advised and should take precautions to reduce the risk linked to their exposure.

The constantly increasing contacts with nature (and water), within the frameworks of recreational activities, also represent a risk for contracting infectious diseases related to wildlife. For example, the incidence of leptospirosis contracted through recreational exposure has considerably increased in the recent years (41). In order to reduce the risk of illness, knowledge

of potential risks before engaging in any risky activity is important.

Improving biosecurity

The international definition of biosecurity in the domain of animal health is quite broad (42): biosecurity is the implementation of measures that reduce the risk of introduction (bio-exclusion) and spread of disease agents (bio-containment); it requires the adoption by people of a set of attitudes and behaviours to reduce the risk in all activities involving domestic, captive exotic and wild birds and their products.

Recently, such biosecurity approach was implemented at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (University of Liege, Belgium) (<http://www.fmv.biosecurity.ulg.ac.be>). It aims both at letting all members of the Faculty and its clinics protect themselves against the risk of bio-contamination and at promoting the protection of the environment, through the management of biological waste (Figure 2).

Biosecurity involves different activities, such as education programmes, vaccination, quarantine, surveillance, slaughtering, indemnification, cleaning and disinfection; each requires detailed explanations on the concept, design and implementation.

Any recommended biosecurity measure must consider the socio-economic situation of those who will implement it. In terms of epidemics/epizootics, disease spread matters as much as the initial disease introduction and local installation. Biosecurity is one of the key pillars in slowing the disease spread. Each measure must be practical and sustainable for all stakeholders – producers, traders, intermediaries and service providers and all those pursuing activities that may contribute to the dissemination of pathogens (43).

The fundamental principles of biosecurity are the following:

- Biosecurity is about reducing the risk of introduction and spread of infection
- Active participation of the people involved is fundamental in applying biosecurity
- Biosecurity consists of three major stages: segregation, cleaning and disinfection – segregation being the most effective and disinfection the least effective.

International cooperation is recommended to start dealing with threats in the place they originate, along with and a commitment to refocus biosecurity efforts with the goal of building resilience to invasion into agro-systems, rather than

TABLE 3

OVERVIEW OF SOME MAIN WEB SOURCES OF INFORMATION ON HUMAN AND ANIMAL INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND ZOOSES			
SOURCE OF INFORMATION		TYPE OF INFORMATION PROVIDED	WEB LINK
OIE (WAHIS/WAHID)	World Organization for Animal Health (Information system/ Information database)	Information per country on the status of listed animal diseases and zoonoses (outbreaks, etc.), control measures implemented per country, etc. Terrestrial Code and Manual	http://www.oie.int/en/
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Information on human diseases and zoonoses	http://www.cdc.gov/
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority	Information on risk assessment regarding food and feed safety (animal diseases and zoonoses)	http://www.efsa.europa.eu/
ECDC	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control	Information on human and animal diseases, and zoonoses	http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/Pages/home.aspx
ISID	International Society for Infectious Diseases	E-mail alerts on human and animal disease events in the world (ProMED-mail)	http://www.promedmail.org/
CSFPH (Iowa State University)	Center for Food Security and Public Health	Information on animal diseases and zoonoses (technical factsheets)	http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/
HealthMap	Children's Hospital Boston	Information on the current global status of human and animal infectious diseases as well as zoonoses worldwide through an automated process (gathered from different sources)	http://www.healthmap.org/en/

building walls around them (35). The approach to ensure information, awareness and training should be adopted in each school or university involved in the training of veterinary, human medical, public health professionals and stakeholders (44).

Prevention and control

The prevention of zoonotic agents relies on a series of measures and behaviours aiming at reducing the risk of disease introduction

TABLE 4

GENERAL PRECAUTIONS TO MINIMIZE THE RISK OF ZOO NOTIC DISEASES (54)

Hand washing and hygiene

- Hand washing is one of the most effective ways to prevent the spread of disease
- Use warm water and soap for a minimum of 20 seconds
- Antimicrobial hand gels can be effective when hands are not visibly dirty
- Wash your hands often
- Supervise children to ensure proper hand washing
- Avoid direct contact with animal faeces
- Clean and disinfect areas accessed by pets

Personal protection while outdoors

- Avoid contact with animals or waterfowl
- Avoid insect vectors (e.g., ticks and mosquitoes)
- Use vector control measures around your home

Food safety

- Handle and prepare foods safely
- Promptly wash any kitchen utensils, or surfaces that have been in contact with raw meat or eggs

Children and animals

- Children, especially those 5 years old and younger, should always be supervised while interacting with animals

Pet health

- Keeping pets healthy can minimize zoonotic diseases
- Do not allow pets to interact with wildlife
- Do not allow your animal to eat other animal's faeces
- Do not feed raw or undercooked meats to your pet-feed a high-quality commercial pet food

and spread. Based on the pathogen involved, several approaches could be applied, alone or together, such as:

- Awareness campaigns addressed at animal and human health professionals, but also at professionals and the general public exposed at the risks, mentioned above, linked to the increasing interactions between humans and wildlife through recreational activities (45)
- (Continuing) education for veterinary practitioners, human medical, public health professionals
- Vaccination of animals at risk (e.g. vaccination of pets against rabies, to prevent the risk of human contamination) or humans at risk (e.g. vaccination of humans against influenza)
- For zoonotic agents, the adoption of measures on the animal compartment aiming at reducing the incidence of human cases (e.g. bovine brucellosis or bovine tuberculosis) (46-48).

Controlling zoonoses can require the implementation of drastic measures such as the culling or euthanasia of infected animals (e.g. stamping out in a tuberculosis-infected cattle herd,


or euthanasia of a rabid dog), the control of animal movements to prevent the spread of infectious agents (e.g. in case of H5N1 avian influenza) or the quarantine of infected facilities, etc.

The prevention and control of zoonoses also implies the communication between animal health and human health professionals. Once a zoonosis is diagnosed in a patient, animal health authorities should be advised rapidly in order to implement the appropriate measures at the animal level. Inversely, any outbreak of a zoonotic disease should equally be notified to human health professionals. Such bilateral transmission of information is crucial and falls within the scope of the 'One Health' concept.

British scientists recently developed an algorithm for early qualitative public health risk assessment to guide risk management (49). Figure 3 illustrates the methodology applied, which relied on the categorization of the evidence of zoonotic potential into 4 levels. Nevertheless, in case of an EID event, there is rarely sufficient evidence to make a risk assessment of its zoonotic potential (49).

FIG. 2

BIOSECURITY PRINCIPLES IN ANIMAL FACILITY



All the stages specified in the figure above are part of a biosecurity approach and contribute to the reduction of the risk of introduction and spread of infectious agents: 1) to limit the risk of introduction (bio-exclusion); 2) to limit the spread of the pathogen within the same facility, e.g. by isolating excreting animals (bio-compartmentation); 3) to limit the spread of the disease agent outside the facility (inter-herd transmission) (bio-containment); 4) to prevent the risk of human bio-contamination; 5) to prevent any environmental bio-contamination and persistence of the pathogen. Human can contaminate animals as well (e.g., *Mycobacterium bovis* (55)). Animals can be re-infected by the contaminated environment, which is especially true for pathogens presenting a long environmental persistence such as *Bacillus anthracis* (56) or *Mycobacterium bovis* (57) when ecological conditions are optimal.

Health governance

'Global health governance' refers to 'the use of formal and informal institutions, rules, and processes by states, intergovernmental organizations, and non-state actors to deal with challenges to health that require cross-border collective action to address effectively' (50). The control of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases requires coordination between national and international authorities; the ability to respond reflects the capacity of a governing system (51). In the last 20 years, several health crises have revealed the inadequacy of global national health governance. For example, one of the concerns raised during the influenza A (H1N1) 2009 pandemics was the access to vaccines. The failure to prevent mosquito-borne flaviviruses epidemics and the appearance of antimicrobial resistance also raise the question of global health governance effectiveness (50).

There is a strong need for increasing the implementation of collective actions for the prevention of emerging and re-emerging zoonotic diseases. Preference should be given to policies strengthening the programmes already in place.

Strategies of health governance to face the emergence or re-emergence of zoonotic diseases should be clear and should be designed within the scope of the 'One Health' concept, in a concerted action between all partners.


CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Zoonotic diseases create a strong relationship between human and animal health. Wildlife is the main cradle of zoonotic EIDs and would thus deserve additional attention in terms of surveillance, to ensure an early detection of (re) emerging zoonotic events, which represent a potential threat for domestic animal and human health. The awareness of target publics is crucial also in order to reduce the risk for human health.

As detailed above, factors of (re-)emergence are predominantly linked to human activities. From this point of view, biosecurity is one of the key points to ensure the control and prevention of zoonotic (re-)emerging diseases, since it is capable of reducing the risk of introduction in a

FIG. 3

TEMPLATE FOR QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT OF ZOOBOTIC POTENTIAL OF ANIMAL DISEASES (49)



Levels 1 to 4 are levels of confidence of risk of zoonotic transmission of animal diseases; Level 1 = not zoonotic, Level 2 = potentially zoonotic, level 3 = confirmed as zoonotic (human cases reported, but no transmission person to person) and level 4 = confirmed as zoonotic (person to person transmission not excluded).

free country and the risk of dissemination in case of a disease event. It is thus necessary not only to implement biosecurity rules, but above all, it is primordial to ensure they are correctly and strictly applied. Education on the importance of respecting biosecurity measures should be encouraged for all actors involved in animal health.

The recent emergences of zoonoses, such as Q fever and West Nile fever in Europe, and the re-emergence of well-known diseases, such as echinococcosis or bovine tuberculosis also in Europe, have highlighted the need to reassess teaching objectives and contents for the prevention and control of OIE-listed diseases, wildlife diseases and rare events. The amount of subjects increases each year but it is not possible to increase accordingly the time allocated to teaching trainee veterinarians and medical doctors on all these diseases. Therefore, it is crucial for veterinarians and medical doctors to acquire and

adopt an adequate mode of understanding of new diseases. Earlier clinical diagnosis, new concepts in infectiology, better skills in entomology, ecology, integrated ecosystem health, epidemiology and risk analysis must be covered. Teaching engineering (e.g. e-learning, skills of evidence-based veterinary-medicine through case-based disease study or focus-group), and dissemination must be improved (46, 52).

At last, a better communication between human and veterinary health professionals would facilitate an early detection of (re-) emerging zoonotic events, or in the animal compartment in case of human disease, or among people in case of animal disease. The reduction of the risk related to (re-)emerging zoonoses passes by an increased collaboration between animal health stakeholders and human health authorities, not only locally, but also at national, regional and international levels.

References

- (1) Teufel P, Hammer P. Which zoonosis is it? [in Dutch]. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr* 1999; 106: 311-8
- (2) Acha PN, Szyfres B. Preface of the first English edition. In: *Zoonoses and communicable diseases common to man and animals, Volume 1: bacteriosis and mycoses* [in French]. 3rd edition. Paris: OIE; 2005. p. ix
- (3) Saegerman C, Lancelot R, Humblet MF, et al. Renewed veterinary education is needed to improve the surveillance and control of OIE-listed diseases, diseases of wildlife and rare events. In: *Proceedings of the First OIE Global Conference on Evolving Veterinary Education for a Safer World, 12-14 October 2009, Paris, France, 2011*, 63-77
- (4) Pattison J. The emergence of bovine spongiform encephalopathy and related diseases. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1998; 4: 390-4
- (5) Center for disease control and prevention. Preventing emerging infectious diseases. A strategy for the 21st century. Center for disease control and prevention, US Department of health and human services, Atlanta, Georgia, October 1998
- (6) Brown C. Importance des maladies émergentes pour la santé publique et animale et pour les échanges commerciaux. 69ème Session Générale du Comité International de l'Organisation mondiale de la santé animale, 27 mai au 1er juin 2001, Paris, document 69 SG/9 OIE, 6 pages
- (7) Morse SS. Factors and determinants of disease emergence. *Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz.* 2004; 23: 443-51
- (8) Saegerman C, Hubaux M, Urbain B, et al. Regulatory aspects concerning temporary authorisation of animal vaccination in case of an emergency situation: example of bluetongue in Europe. *Rev sc tech Off Int Epiz* 2007; 26: 395-414
- (9) Toma B, Thiry E. Qu'est-ce qu'une maladie émergente? *Epidémiol. et santé anim* 2003; 44: 1-11 [in French]
- (10) Morse SS. Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1995; 1: 7-15
- (11) Slingenbergh J, Gilbert M, de Balogh K, Wint W. Ecological sources of zoonotic diseases. *Rev sci tech Off int Epiz* 2004; 23: 467-84
- (12) Weiss RA, McMichael AJ. Social and environmental risk factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. *Nature Medicine* 2004; 10: 70-6
- (13) Wittmann EJ, Mellor PS, Baylis M. Using climate data to map the potential distribution of *Culicoides imicola* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Europe. *Rev sci tech Off int Epiz* 2001; 20: 731-40
- (14) Rodhain F. Emergences de maladies à transmission vectorielle. *Epidémiol. et santé anim.* 2003; 43:33-49 [in French]
- (15) Cleveland S, Laurenson MK, Taylor LH. Diseases of humans and their domestic mammals: pathogen characteristics, host range and the risk of emergence. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B* 2001; 356: 991-9
- (16) Woolhouse MEJ, Gowtage-Sequeria S. Host range and

- emerging and reemerging pathogens. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005; 11: 1842-7
- (17) Purse BV, Mellor PS, Rogers DJ, et al. Climate change and the recent emergence of bluetongue in Europe. *Nature reviews Microbiology* 2005; 3: 171-81
- (18) Gale P, Drew T, Phipps LP, et al. The effect of climate change on the occurrence and prevalence of livestock diseases in Great Britain: a review. *J Appl Microbiol* 2009; 106: 1409-23
- (19) Woolhouse MEJ. Emerging diseases go global. *Nature* 2008; 451: 898-9
- (20) Sumilo D, Asokliene L, Bormane A, et al. Climate change cannot explain the upsurge of tick-borne encephalitis in the Baltics. *PLoS ONE* 2007; 2: e500. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000500
- (21) Sumilo D, Bormane A, Asokliene L, et al. Socio-economic factors in the differential upsurge of tick-borne encephalitis in central and Eastern Europe. *Rev med Virol* 2008; 18: 81-95
- (22) Reiter P. Climate change and mosquito-borne disease: knowing the horse before hitching the cart. *Rev sci tech Off int Epiz* 2008; 27: 383-398
- (23) King LJ. Understanding the factors of animal disease emergence: a world of One Health. In *Emerging animal diseases: from science to policy*. Federal Agency for Safety of the Food Chain (ed.), Brussels, Belgium, 2008, 15-18
- (24) Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife: threats to biodiversity and human health. *Science* 2000; 287: 443-9
- (25) Saegerman C, Speybroeck N, Roels S, et al. Amélioration de la détection d'une maladie émergente : exemple de l'encéphalopathie spongiforme bovine. *Epidémiol et santé anim* 2003; 44: 61-77 [in French]
- (26) King LJ. Emerging and re-emerging zoonotic diseases: challenges and opportunities. 72nd General Session, International Committee, World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), 2004, Document 72 SG/9
- (27) Humblet MF, Vandeputte S, Albert A, et al. Multidisciplinary and Evidence-based Method for Prioritizing Diseases of Food-producing Animals and Zoonoses. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; 18:e1. doi: 10.3201/eid1804.111151
- (28) Martin C, Pastoret PP, Brochier B, et al. A survey of the transmission of infectious diseases/infections between wild and domestic ungulates in Europe. *Vet Res* 2011; 42:70
- (29) Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, et al. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 2008; 451: 990-4
- (30) Cleaveland S, Haydon DT, Taylor L. Overviews of pathogen emergence: which pathogens emerge, when and why? *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2007; 315: 85-111
- (31) Marston DA, Horton DL, Ngeleja C, et al. Ikoma lyssavirus, highly divergent novel lyssavirus in an African civet. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; 18: 664-7
- (32) Merianos A. Surveillance and response to disease emergence. *CTMI* 2007; 315: 477-508
- (33) Avashia SB, Petersen JM, Lindley CM, et al. First reported prairie dog-to-human tularemia transmission, Texas, 2002. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004; 10: 483-6
- (34) Azad AF. Prairie Dog: cuddly pet or trojan horse? *Emerg Inf Dis* 2004; 10: 542-3
- (35) Waage JK, Mumford JD. Agricultural biosecurity. *Philos Trans Roy Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2008; 363: 863-76
- Kasprócz VO, Churchyard G, Lawn SD, et al. Diagnosing latent tuberculosis in high-risk individuals: rising to the challenge in high-burden areas. *J Infect Dis* 2011; 204: 1168-78
- (37) O'Connor RM, Shaffie R, Kang G, Ward H. Cryptosporidiosis in patients with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS* 2011; 25: 549-60
- (38) Lloyd-Smith JO, Poss M, Grenfell BT. HIV-1/parasite co-infection and the emergence of new parasite strains. *Parasitology* 2008; 135: 795-806
- (39) Bosnjak E, Hvass AM, Villumsen S, Nielsen H. Emerging evidence for Q fever in humans in Denmark: role of contact with dairy cattle. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010; 16: 1285-8
- (40) Crowcroft NS, Infuso A, Ilef D, et al. Risk factors for human hantavirus infection: Franco-Belgian collaborative case-control study during 1995-6 epidemic. *BMJ* 1999; 318: 1737-8
- (41) Monahan AM, Miller IS, Nally JE. Leptospirosis: risks during recreational activities. *J Appl Microbiol* 2009; 107: 707-16
- (42) World Organisation for Animal Health. OIE-FAO Guide to good farming practices for animal production food safety. *Bull Off int Epiz* 2008; 3: 5-12
- (43) Food and Agricultural Organisation. Biosecurity for Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza. In *FAO Animal Production and Health Paper*, 2008, No. 165 Online. Available at: <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/011/i0359e/i0359e00.pdf> [Accessed on April 27, 2012]
- (44) Colorado State University. Biosecurity operating procedures. Biosecurity standard operating procedures. Version October 2, 2008. James L. Voss Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Colorado State University. Online. Available at: http://csuvs.colostate.edu/biosecurity/biosecurity_sop.pdf [Accessed on April 27, 2012]
- (45) Heyman P, Saegerman C. Les rongeurs en tant que sentinelles dans le cadre des infections à hantavirus. *Epidémiol et santé anim* 2009; 56: 47-52 [in French]
- (46) Saegerman C, Berkvens D, Godfroid J, Walravens K. Chapter 77: Bovine brucellosis. In: *Infectious and Parasitic Disease of Livestock*. Lavoisier et Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau - International (ed.), France, 2010, 971-1001
- (47) Ron-Román J, Saegerman C, Minda-Aluisa E, et al. First

- report of orchitis in man, caused by *Brucella abortus* biotype 1 in Ecuador. *AJTMH* 2012; In press
- (48) Allix-Béguéc C, Fauville-Dufaux M, Stoffels K, et al. Importance of identifying *Mycobacterium bovis* as a causative agent of human TB. *European Respiratory Journal* 2010;35:1-3
- (49) Palmer S, Brown D, Morgan D. Early qualitative risk assessment of the emerging zoonotic potential of animal diseases. *BMJ* 2005; 331: 1256
- (50) Fidler DP. The Challenges of Global Health Governance - working paper. 33 pp. Version November 2011. Online. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/health/eu_world/docs/ev_20111111_rd01_en.pdf [Accessed on April 27, 2012]
- (51) Prescott EM. The Politics of Disease: Governance and Emerging Infections. *Global Health Governance* 2008; 1: 1-8. Online. Available at: <http://www.ghgj.org/Prescott%20article.pdf> [Accessed on April 27, 2012]
- (52) Saegerman C, Humblet MF, Porter SR, et al. Evidence-based early clinical detection of emerging diseases in food animals and zoonoses: two cases. *Vet Clin Food Anim* 2012; 28: 121-31
- (53) Planté C. Current position of the OIE on the approach of emerging animal diseases. In *Emerging animal diseases: from science to policy*. Federal Agency for Safety of the Food Chain (ed.), Brussels, Belgium, 2008, 11-13
- (54) Center for Food Security and Public Health. Chapter 7. Zoonotic disease awareness and prevention handouts. In: *Handbook for zoonotic diseases of companion animals*, Glenda Dvorak, Anna Rovid-Spicker and James A. Roth (Eds.), Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Ames, Iowa, USA, 2008, 338-49
- (55) Fritsche A, Engel R, Buhl D, Zellweger JP. *Mycobacterium bovis* tuberculosis: from animal to man and back. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2004; 8: 903-4
- (56) Hugh-Jones M, Blackburn J. The ecology of *Bacillus anthracis*. *Mol Aspects Med* 2009; 30: 356-67
- (57) Kelly WR, Collins JD. The health significance of some infectious agents present in animal effluents, *Vet Sci Commun* 1978; 2: 95-103

